

Title	A BLOCK REFINEMENT OF THE GREEN-PUIG PARAMETERIZATION OF THE ISOMORPHISM TYPES OF INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES
Author(s)	Harris, Morton E.
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 56(2) p.229-p.236
Issue Date	2019-04
oaire:version	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/72315
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

A BLOCK REFINEMENT OF THE GREEN-PUIG PARAMETERIZATION OF THE ISOMORPHISM TYPES OF INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES

Dedicated to the Memory of J.A. Green

MORTON E. HARRIS

(Received July 31, 2017, revised October 23, 2017)

Abstract

Let p be a prime integer, let \mathcal{O} be a commutative complete local Noetherian ring with an algebraically closed residue field k of characteristic p and let G be a finite group. Let P be a p -subgroup of G and let X be an indecomposable $\mathcal{O}P$ -module with vertex P . Let $\Lambda(G, P, X)$ denote a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\mathcal{O}G$ -modules with vertex-source pair (P, X) (so that $\Lambda(G, P, X)$ is a finite set by the Green correspondence). As mentioned in [5, Notes on Section 26], L. Puig asserted that a defect multiplicity module determined by (P, X) can be used to obtain an extended parameterization of $\Lambda(G, P, X)$. In [5, Proposition 26.3], J. Thévenaz completed this program under the hypotheses that X is \mathcal{O} -free. Here we use the methods of proof of [5, Theorem 26.3] to show that the \mathcal{O} -free hypothesis on X is superfluous. (M. Linckelmann has also proved this, cf. [3]). Let B be a block of $\mathcal{O}G$. Then we obtain a corresponding parameterization of the $(\mathcal{O}G)B$ -modules in $\Lambda(G, P, X)$.

1. Introduction and Statements

Our notation and terminology are standard and tend to follow [5]. All rings have identities and are Noetherian and all modules over a ring are unitary and finitely generated left modules.

Let R be a ring. Then $R\text{-mod}$ will denote the abelian category of finitely generated (left) R -modules. Let U, V be R -modules. Then $U|V$ in $R\text{-mod}$ signifies that U is isomorphic in $R\text{-mod}$ to a direct summand of V . Also if R has the unique decomposition property (cf. [1, p. 37]), then U is a component of V if $U|V$ and U is indecomposable in $R\text{-mod}$.

Throughout this paper, G is a finite group, p is a prime integer and \mathcal{O} is a commutative complete local Noetherian ring with an algebraically closed residue field of characteristic p ([5, Assumption 2.1]).

The statements of the main results of this paper are given in this section. The required proofs are presented in Section 2.

Let P be a p -subgroup of G and let X be an indecomposable $\mathcal{O}P$ -module with vertex P . Let E be an idempotent of $Z(\mathcal{O}G)$. Then, as in [2], $IT(G, P, X, E)$ will denote a set of representatives for the isomorphism types of indecomposable $(\mathcal{O}G)E$ -modules with vertex-source pair (P, X) . Clearly we may assume that the modules in $IT(G, P, X, E)$ are components of $E\text{Ind}_P^G(X)$ in $\mathcal{O}G\text{-mod}$.

Set $H = N_G(P, X)$. By [5, Proposition 20.8]:

- (1.1) There is a natural bijection Pu_G^H , from $IT(G, P, X, 1)$ to $IT(H, P, X, 1)$ with inverse $\text{Pu}_H^G: IT(H, P, X, 1) \rightarrow IT(G, P, X, 1)$.

Here $P \trianglelefteq H$ and $C_G(P) \trianglelefteq H$.

Clearly we may assume:

- (1.2) The modules in $IT(H, P, X, 1)$ are components of $\text{Ind}_P^H(X)$.

Set $A = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Ind}_P^H(X))$ so that $A \cong \text{Ind}_P^H(\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X))$ as interior H -algebras, $A^H = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}H}(\text{Ind}_P^H(X))$ and $A^P = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}P}(\text{Ind}_P^H(X))$. Also the points of A^H , $\mathcal{P}(A^H)$, biject with the isomorphism types of components of $\text{Ind}_P^H(X)$ in $\mathcal{O}H$ -mod and similarly for the points of A^P , $\mathcal{P}(A^P)$.

As X is an indecomposable $\mathcal{O}P$ -module with vertex P , $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$ is a primitive interior P -algebra with defect group P . Since $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)^P = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}P}(X)$ is a local algebra, $P_{\{\text{Id}_X\}}$ is a defect of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_P^H: \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X) &\rightarrow \text{Res}_P^H(A) = \text{Res}_P^H(\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Ind}_P^H(X))) \\ &\cong \text{Res}_P^H(\text{Ind}_P^H(\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X))) \end{aligned}$$

denote the canonic embedding. Here $\{\text{Id}_X\}$ is the unique point of $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X)^P = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}P}(X)$ and $(1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \text{Id}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} 1)\text{Ind}_P^H(X) = 1 \otimes X$ so that $\mathcal{D}_P^H(\{\text{Id}_X\}) = \gamma \in \mathcal{P}(A^P)$ by [5, Proposition 15.1] and P_γ is a local pointed group of A by [5, Proposition 15.1(d)].

Thus $N_G(P_\gamma) = N_G(P, X)$ since $j\text{Ind}_P^H(X) \cong X$ in $\mathcal{O}P$ -mod for any $j \in \gamma$ as on [5, p. 106].

Also $A = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Ind}_P^H(X)) \cong \text{Ind}_P^H(\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(X))$ as interior H -algebras and $A^P = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}P}(\text{Res}_P^H(\text{Ind}_P^H(X)))$. Note that:

- (1.3) $\text{Res}_P^H(\text{Ind}_P^H(X)) \cong |H/P|X$ in $\mathcal{O}P$ -mod.

Thus:

- (1.4) $A^P \cong \text{Mat}_{|H/P|}(\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}P}(X))$ as \mathcal{O} -algebras; $A^P/J(A^P) \cong \text{Mat}_{|H/P|}(k)$ as k -algebras and $\mathcal{P}(A^P) = \gamma$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let W be a component of $\text{Ind}_P^H(X)$ in $\mathcal{O}H$ -mod and let $e \in \text{Bl}(\mathcal{O}H)$ be such that $eW = W$. Then: (a) (P, X) is the “unique” vertex-source pair of W ; (b) Let $j \in \tau \in \mathcal{P}(A^H)$ be such that $j\text{Ind}_P^H(X) \cong W$ in $\mathcal{O}H$ -mod so that $jAj \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(j\text{Ind}_P^H(X))$ as interior H -algebras. Then P is a defect group of jAj and if P_γ is a defect of jAj and if $I: jAj \rightarrow A$ is the inclusion embedding of interior H -algebras, then $I(P_\gamma) = P_\gamma$; and (c) e^G is a defined block of G and $e^G \text{Pu}_H^G(W) = \text{Pu}_H^G(W)$.*

Corollary 1.2. $IT(H, P, X, 1)$ bijects with $\mathcal{P}(A^H)$.

REMARK 1.3. By [4, Theorem 5.5.15], the map that associates each block e of $\mathcal{O}H$ to the H -conjugacy class C of blocks of $\mathcal{O}C_G(P)$ covered by e is a bijection. Moreover $C = \{b \in \text{Bl}(\mathcal{O}C_G(P)) \mid b^H = e\}$. Also $IT(H, P, X, e) \neq \emptyset$ for each $e \in \text{Bl}(\mathcal{O}H)$ hence P is contained in a defect group of e by [2, Corollary 1.8]. Moreover e^G is defined for each $e \in \text{Bl}(\mathcal{O}H)$ by [4, Theorem 5.3.5].

Also $A^H = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}H}(\text{Ind}_P^H(X))$ is an interior $C_G(H)$ -algebra where $C_G(H) \leq C_G(P) \leq H$ so that $C_G(H) = Z(H)$.

Set $\overline{H} = H/P$. Thus A^P is an \overline{H} -algebra and an interior $C_G(P)$ -algebra. Since γ is the unique point of A^P , the maximal ideal m_γ of A^P such that $m_\gamma \cap \gamma = \phi$ is just $J(A^P)$. Hence the multiplicity algebra of P_γ , $S(\gamma) = A^P/J(A^P) \cong \text{Mat}_\mu(k)$ where μ is the multiple of γ as k -algebras and $S(\gamma) = \text{End}_k(V_A(\gamma))$ where $V_A(\gamma)$ is a multiplicity module of P_γ on A . Clearly $S(\gamma)$ is an \overline{H} -algebra and [5, Theorem 19.1] yields:

Proposition 1.4. *There is a bijection between $\mathcal{P}(A^H)$ and $\{\delta \in \mathcal{P}(S(\gamma)^{\overline{H}}) \mid \overline{H}_\delta \text{ is a projective pointed group on } S(\gamma)\}$.*

Let $\alpha: H \rightarrow A^\times = \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Ind}_P^H(X))^\times$ denote the group homomorphism that expresses the fact that A is an interior H -algebra. (Thus, if $h \in H$ and $v \in \text{Ind}_P^H(X)$, $\alpha(h)v = hv$). Consequently α induces an H -algebra homomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{O}H \rightarrow A$, an \overline{H} -group homomorphism $\alpha: C_G(P) \rightarrow (A^P)^\times$ and an \overline{H} -algebra homomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{O}C_G(P) \rightarrow A^P$.

Let T be a transversal of $Z(P)$ in $C_G(P)$ with $1 \in T$ so that $C_G(P) = \bigcup_{t \in T}(tZ(P))$ and $PC_G(P) = \bigcup_{t \in T}(tP)$ are disjoint. Also let $\pi: A^P \rightarrow S(\gamma) = A^P/J(A^P)$ denote the canonic \overline{H} -algebra epimorphism. Thus $\pi \circ \alpha: C_G(P) \rightarrow S(\gamma)^\times$ is an \overline{H} -group homomorphism with $Z(P) \leq \text{Ker}(\pi \circ \alpha)$ by [5, p. 104] and $\pi \circ \alpha$ induces an \overline{H} -algebra homomorphism $\pi \circ \alpha: \mathcal{O}C_G(P) \rightarrow S(\gamma)$. As above $S(\gamma) = \text{End}_k(V_A(\gamma))$ where $V_A(\gamma)$ is a multiplicity module of P_γ on A .

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{(\overline{s}, \overline{h}) \in (S(\gamma)^\times \times \overline{H}) \mid \overline{s}\sigma\overline{s}^{-1} = \overline{h}\sigma \text{ for all } \sigma \in S(\gamma)\}$ and set $\mathcal{N} = \{((\pi \circ \alpha)(t), \overline{t}) \mid t \in T\}$. Let $t \in T$ and $a \in A^P$. Then, $\overline{t}a = \alpha(t)a\alpha(t^{-1})$, and so $\overline{t}\pi(a) = (\pi \circ \alpha)(t)\pi(a)(\pi \circ \alpha)(t^{-1})$. Thus $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \subseteq S(\gamma)^\times \times \overline{H}$.

Proposition 1.5. (a) $\mathcal{H} \leq S(\gamma)^\times \times \overline{H}$ and with \overline{H} acting by conjugation on \overline{H} , \overline{H} acts diagonally on \mathcal{H} ; (b) $\mathcal{N} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{N} is \overline{H} -invariant; and (c) If $(\overline{s}, \overline{h})$ and $(\overline{u}, \overline{x}) \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\overline{x}(\overline{s}, \overline{h}) = (\overline{u}, \overline{x})(\overline{s}, \overline{h})(\overline{u}, \overline{x})^{-1}$.

Moreover, we have the following diagram in the category of groups:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & k^\times & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \overline{H} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \rho \downarrow & & \\ & & & & S(\gamma)^\times & & \end{array}$$

where $\phi(u) = (u \text{Id}_{S(\gamma)}, 1_{\overline{H}})$, for all $u \in k^\times$, η is the projection on the second component and ρ is the projection on the first component.

Clearly:

(1.6) The row in (1.5) is exact and letting \overline{H} act trivially on k^\times , all of the group homomorphisms in (1.5) are \overline{H} -homomorphisms.

Clearly $k\mathcal{H}$ is an \overline{H} -algebra.

Lemma 1.6. $Z(k\mathcal{H}) = (k\mathcal{H})^{\overline{H}}$.

Let $\omega: C_G(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be such that $tz \mapsto ((\pi \circ \alpha)(t), \overline{t})$ for all $t \in T$ and $z \in Z(P)$. Clearly ω is an \overline{H} -group epimorphism with $Z(P) = \text{Ker}(\omega)$ and ω induces an \overline{H} -group isomorphism $\overline{\omega}: \overline{C_G(P)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and an \overline{H} -algebra homomorphism $\omega: \mathcal{O}C_G(P) \rightarrow k\mathcal{N}$ and an \overline{H} -algebra isomorphism $\overline{\omega}: k\overline{C_G(P)} \rightarrow k\mathcal{N}$.

Clearly $\rho: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma)^\times$ induces an \overline{H} -algebra homomorphism $\rho: k\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma)$.

Since T is a transversal of P in $PC_G(P)$, we may extend T to a transversal S of P in H .

For $t \in T$, set $\Sigma(t) = ((\pi \circ \alpha)(t), \bar{t})$ and for $v \in S - T$, set $\Sigma(v) = (\bar{s}_v, \bar{v})$ for any element \bar{s}_v of $\eta^{-1}(v)$.

Clearly the ideal \bar{I} of $k\mathcal{H}$ generated by $\{\phi(u) - u(1_{S(\gamma)}, 1_{\overline{H}}) \mid u \in k^\times\}$ is contained in the kernel of $\rho: k\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma)$. Then $k\mathcal{H}/\bar{I} \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} (k\Sigma(s))$ as k -vector spaces and $k\mathcal{H}/\bar{I}$ is a twisted group algebra over \overline{H} of dimension $|\overline{H}|$ denoted by $k_\# \mathcal{H}$ and ρ induces an \overline{H} -algebra homomorphism $\bar{\rho}: k_\# \mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma)$. Consequently $V_A(\gamma)$ is via $\bar{\rho}$ a $k_\# \mathcal{H}$ -module.

In our situation, [5, Lemma 26.1] directly yields:

- (1.7) The multiplicity module $V_A(\gamma)$ is isomorphic to the regular (left) module $k_\# \mathcal{H}$ in $k_\# \mathcal{H}$ -mod.

At this point, (1.7) and the discussion on [5, p. 157] (applying [5, Example 13.5, Lemma 12.4, and Corollary 17.8]) yields our generalization of [5, Proposition 26.3] which has been independently obtained by M. Linckelmann in [3, Proposition 5.7.6]:

Theorem 1.7. *There are bijections between:*

- (a) $IT(G, P, X, 1)$;
- (b) $IT(H, P, X, 1)$;
- (c) $\mathcal{P}(A^H)$;
- (d) $\{\delta \in \mathcal{P}(S(\gamma)^H) \mid \overline{H}_\delta \text{ is projective}\}$; and
- (e) The isomorphism classes of components of the $k_\# \mathcal{H}$ -module $k_\# \mathcal{H}$.

REMARK 1.8. As remarked in [5, Notes to Section 26], the idea of using the defect multiplicity module as a third invariant for the parameterization of indecomposable modules is an idea of L. Puig. Theorem 1.7 generalizes [5, Theorem 26.3] where X is an \mathcal{O} -lattice to arbitrary pairs (P, X) of G where X is any indecomposable $\mathcal{O}P$ -module with vertex P . Our proof of Theorem 1.7 essentially follows the proof of [5, Theorem 26.3]. (If X is also an \mathcal{O} -lattice, then so is any module in $IT(G, P, X, 1)$).

Next we proceed to present our block version of Theorem 1.7

Lemma 1.9. (a) Let $x \in C_G(P)$. Then $(\pi \circ \alpha)(x) = (\rho \circ \omega)(x)$; and (b) Let $e \in Bl(\mathcal{O}H)$ so that $e = \sum_{\delta \in C} \delta$ where C is an orbit of H on $Bl(\mathcal{O}C_G(P))$. Then $0 \neq \omega(e) \in (k\mathcal{N})^{\overline{H}} \leq (k\mathcal{H})^{\overline{H}} = Z(k\mathcal{H})$. Thus $\omega(e)$ is an idempotent of $Z(k\mathcal{H})$.

For the remainder of this article, fix $B \in Bl(\mathcal{O}G)$ and set $N = N_G(P)$.

Lemma 1.10. *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) P is contained in a defect group of B ; and
- (b) $IT(G, P, X, B) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(B, H) = \{e \in Bl(\mathcal{O}H) \mid Br_P(B)\bar{e} = \bar{e}\}$ so that $\mathcal{B}(B, H) = \{e \in Bl(\mathcal{O}H) \mid e^G = B\}$ by [4, Theorem 5.3.5]. Set $E = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{B}(B, H)} e$. Thus we may assume:

- (1.8) $IT(H, P, X, E) = \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{B}(B, H)} IT(H, P, X, e)$ is disjoint and $IT(H, P, X, e) \neq \emptyset$ for each $e \in \mathcal{B}(B, H)$. (since $P \trianglelefteq H$).

From [2, Theorem 1.6(c)] we have:

(1.9) Pu_G^H induces a bijection from $IT(G, P, X, B)$ to $IT(H, P, X, E)$.

Fix $e \in \mathcal{B}(B, H)$.

Here $\alpha: \mathcal{O}H \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha(u)w = uw$ for all $u \in \mathcal{O}H$ and $w \in \text{Ind}_P^H(X)$ induces the \mathcal{O} -algebra homomorphism $\alpha: Z(\mathcal{O}H) \rightarrow A^H$. Also $IT(H, P, X, e) \neq \emptyset$ and we may assume that each module in $IT(H, P, X, e)$ is a component of $e\text{Ind}_P^H(X)$. Thus $\alpha(e)$ is an idempotent of A^H .

Set $C = \alpha(e)A\alpha(e)$ so that C is an interior H -subalgebra of A , $C \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(e\text{Ind}_P^H(X))$ as interior H -algebras and the inclusion map $I: C \rightarrow A$ is an embedding of interior H -algebras.

Lemma 1.1(a) implies that each component of $e\text{Ind}_P^H(X)$ has (P, X) as its “unique” vertex-source pair and $IT(H, P, X, e)$ bijects with $\mathcal{P}\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}H}(e\text{Ind}_P^H(X))$ where $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}H}(e\text{Ind}_P^H(X)) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(e\text{Ind}_P^H(X))^H \cong C^H$ as \mathcal{O} -algebras.

Thus [5, Proposition 15.1 (a)] implies that C^P has a unique point γ' such that $I_*(P_{\gamma'}) = P_{\gamma}$ and $P_{\gamma'}$ is a local pointed group on C . Thus $H = N_H(P_{\gamma}) = N_H(P_{\gamma'})$ and if $M_{\gamma'}$ denotes the maximal ideal of C^P such that $M_{\gamma'} \cap \gamma' = \emptyset$ and if $\pi': C^P \rightarrow S(\gamma') = C^P/M_{\gamma'}$ denotes the canonic \overline{H} -algebra epimorphism, then I induces an \overline{H} -algebra embedding $\overline{I}(\gamma'): S(\gamma') \rightarrow S(\gamma)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^P & \xrightarrow{I^P} & A^P \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ S(\gamma') & \xrightarrow{\overline{I}(\gamma')} & S(\gamma). \end{array}$$

Since $e \in (\mathcal{O}C_G(P))^H$, $\alpha(e) \in A^H \leq A^P$ so that $0 \neq (\pi \circ \alpha)(e) \in S(\gamma)^{\overline{H}}$ and $(\pi \circ \alpha)(e)$ is an idempotent of $S(\gamma)^H$ as $\text{Ker}(\pi) = J(A^P)$.

Note that $I(\text{Id}_C) = \alpha(e) \in A^H$.

Set $\mathcal{H}' = \{(\overline{s'}, \overline{h}) \in S(\gamma')^{\times} \times \overline{H} \mid \overline{s'}\sigma'(\overline{s'})^{-1} = \overline{h}\sigma' \text{ for all } \sigma' \in S(\gamma')\}$.

From [5, Theorem 19.1], we have:

Proposition 1.11. *There are bijections between*

- (a) $IT(H, P, X, e)$;
- (b) $\mathcal{P}(C^H)$; and
- (c) $\{\delta \in \mathcal{P}(S(\gamma')^{\overline{H}}) \mid \overline{H}_{\delta} \text{ is projective}\}$.

Moreover we have the following diagram of \overline{H} -group homomorphisms:

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & k^{\times} & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \mathcal{H}' & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \overline{H} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \rho' \downarrow & & \\ & & & & S(\gamma')^{\times} & & \end{array}$$

where \overline{H} acts trivially on k^{\times} and diagonally on \mathcal{H}' , $\phi'(\lambda) = (\lambda 1_{S(\gamma)}, \overline{1})$ for all $\lambda \in k^{\times}$, η', ρ' are the projections on the second and first component, respectively, and the row is exact.

Since $\overline{I}(\gamma'): S(\gamma') \rightarrow S(\gamma)$ is an \overline{H} -algebra embedding, $\overline{I}(\gamma')$ induces the \overline{H} -algebra isomorphism $\overline{J}(\gamma'): S(\gamma') \rightarrow ((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))S(\gamma)((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))$. Note that $S(\gamma') = \text{End}_k(V_C(\gamma'))$ where $V_C(\gamma')$ is the multiplicity module of $P_{\gamma'}$ on C .

From [5, Proposition 15.4] and the fact that $\overline{J}(\gamma')(\text{Id}_{S(\gamma')}) = (\pi \circ \alpha)(e)$ we have:

(1.12) There is an isomorphism of the short exact sequence of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & k^\times & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \overline{H} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow j \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & k^\times & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & \mathcal{H}' & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \overline{H} \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

where i and j are identity maps and $f((\bar{s}, \bar{h})) = (\bar{J}(\gamma')^{-1}((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))\bar{s}((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))), \bar{h})$ for all $(\bar{s}, \bar{h}) \in \mathcal{H}$.

Thus f induces k -algebra isomorphisms $f: k\mathcal{H} \rightarrow k\mathcal{H}'$ and $f_\#: k_\# \mathcal{H} \rightarrow k_\# \mathcal{H}'$. Also $\rho: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma)^\times$ and $\rho': \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow S(\gamma')^\times$ induce k -algebra homomorphisms $\rho: k\mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma) = \text{End}_k(V_A(\gamma))$, $\rho_\#: k_\# \mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma)$, $\rho': k\mathcal{H}' \rightarrow S(\gamma') = \text{End}_k(V_C(\gamma'))$ and $\rho'_\#: k_\# \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow S(\gamma')$. Thus:

(1.13) $\rho'_\#: k_\# \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow S(\gamma') = \text{End}_k(V_C(\gamma'))$ describes $V_C(\gamma')$ as a $k_\# \mathcal{H}'$ -module; and

(1.14) $\rho'_\# \circ f_\#: k_\# \mathcal{H} \rightarrow S(\gamma')$ describes $V_C(\gamma')$ as a $k_\# \mathcal{H}$ -module.

Since $(\pi \circ \alpha)(e) \in S(\gamma)^{\overline{H}}$ and if $(\bar{s}, \bar{h}) \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\bar{s}((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))\bar{s}^{-1} = {}^{\bar{h}}((\pi \circ \alpha)(e)) = (\pi \circ \alpha)(e)$. Thus

(1.15) $(\pi \circ \alpha)(e)V_A(\gamma)$ is a $k_\# \mathcal{H}$ -submodule of $V_A(\gamma)$.

By [5, Lemma 12.4], there is an interior \mathcal{H} -algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{A}: ((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))S(\gamma)((\pi \circ \alpha)(e)) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))V_A(\gamma))$. Consequently $\mathcal{A} \circ \bar{J}(\gamma') \circ \rho' \circ f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}_k(((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))V_A(\gamma))^\times$ is a group homomorphism that induces the k -algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \circ \bar{J}(\gamma') \circ \rho'_\# \circ f_\#: k_\# \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}_k(((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))V_A(\gamma))$.

From the above, $\bar{J}(\gamma'): S(\gamma') \rightarrow ((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))S(\gamma)((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))$ is an \overline{H} -algebra isomorphism of simple k -algebras and $((\pi \circ \alpha)(e)V_A(\gamma))$ is an irreducible module for $((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))S(\gamma)((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))$. Thus there is a k -module isomorphism $j': V_C(\gamma') \rightarrow (\pi \circ \alpha)(e)V_A(\gamma)$ such that if $v' \in V_C(\gamma')$ and $\sigma' \in S(\gamma')$, then $j'(\sigma'v') = ((\mathcal{A} \circ \bar{J}(\gamma'))(\sigma'))j'(v')$.

Here $\mathcal{A} \circ \bar{J}(\gamma') \circ \rho' \circ f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}_k((\pi \circ \alpha)(e)V_A(\gamma))^\times$ is a group homomorphism that induces the k -algebra homomorphism:

$$\mathcal{A} \circ \bar{J}(\gamma') \circ \rho'_\# \circ f_\#: k_\# \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \text{End}_k(((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))V_A(\gamma)).$$

Thus if $(\bar{s}, \bar{h}) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $v' \in V_C(\gamma')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} j'(\rho' \circ f(\bar{s}, \bar{h}))(v') &= (\mathcal{A} \circ \bar{J}(\gamma'))((\rho' \circ f)(\bar{s}, \bar{h}))j'(v') \\ &= \mathcal{A} \circ \bar{J}(\gamma')(\bar{J}(\gamma')^{-1}((\pi \circ \alpha)(e))\bar{s}((\pi \circ \alpha)(e)))j'(v') \\ &= \mathcal{A}((\pi \circ \alpha)(e)\bar{s}(\pi \circ \alpha)(e))j'(v') = \bar{s}j'(v'). \end{aligned}$$

We have proved:

Theorem 1.12. *There are bijections between:*

- (a) $IT(H, P, X, e)$;
- (b) $\mathcal{P}(C^H)$;
- (c) $\{\delta \in \mathcal{P}(S(\gamma)^{\overline{H}}) \mid \overline{H}_\delta \text{ is a projective pointed group on } S(\gamma')\}$;
- (d) *The isomorphism classes of projective components of the $k_\# \mathcal{H}'$ -module $V_C(\gamma')$; and*

(e) The isomorphism classes of components of the $k_{\#}\mathcal{H}$ -module $\omega(e)(k_{\#}\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 1.13. *There is a bijection between*

- (a) $IT(G, P, X, B)$; and
- (b) The isomorphism classes of components of the $k_{\#}\mathcal{H}$ -module $\omega(E)k_{\#}\mathcal{H}$.

2. Required Proofs

2.1. A Proof of Lemma 1.1. Assume the situation of Lemma 1.1. Here $\text{Res}_P^H(W) \cong sX$ in $\mathcal{O}P\text{-mod}$ for some positive integer s . Let (R, U) be a vertex-source pair of W so that $W \mid \text{Ind}_R^H(U)$ in $\mathcal{O}H\text{-mod}$. Let T be a set of representatives for the (P, R) -double cosets in H with $1 \in T$. Then $\text{Res}_P^H(\text{Ind}_R^H(U)) \cong \bigoplus_{t \in T} (\text{Ind}_{P \cap ({}^tR)}^P)(\text{Res}_{P \cap ({}^tR)}^{{}^tR}({}_tU))$ in $\mathcal{O}P\text{-mod}$. Thus there is a $t \in T$ such that $X \mid \text{Ind}_{P \cap ({}^tR)}^P(\text{Res}_{P \cap ({}^tR)}^{{}^tR}({}_tU))$ in $\mathcal{O}P\text{-mod}$. Whence $P \leq {}^tR$, $P \leq R$ and since $W \mid \text{Ind}_P^H(X)$ in $\mathcal{O}H\text{-mod}$, $R = P$ and (a) holds. Now [2, Corollary 1.7] implies (c): Since $\mathcal{P}(A^P) = \gamma$ by (1.4), (b) holds and we are done.

2.2. A Proof of Proposition 1.5. Assume the situation of Proposition 1.5. Clearly $\mathcal{H} \leq S(\gamma)^{\times} \times \overline{H}$ and $\mathcal{N} \leq \mathcal{H}$. Let $\bar{x} \in \overline{H}$ and $(\bar{s}, \bar{h}) \in S(\gamma)^{\times} \times \overline{H}$. Then $\bar{x}(\bar{s}, \bar{h}) = (\bar{x}\bar{s}, \bar{x}\bar{h}\bar{x}^{-1})$. Since $\bar{s}\sigma\bar{s}^{-1} = {}^h\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in S(\gamma)$, $\bar{x}\bar{s}\bar{x}\sigma(\bar{x}\bar{s})^{-1} = \bar{x}\bar{h}\sigma = \bar{x}\bar{h}\bar{x}^{-1}(\bar{x}\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in S(\gamma)$. Thus (a) is proved. Let $t \in T$. Then $\bar{x}((\pi \circ \alpha)(t), \bar{t}) = ((\pi \circ \alpha)(\bar{x}t), \bar{x}\bar{t}\bar{x}^{-1})$ and \mathcal{N} is \overline{H} invariant. Also let $(\bar{s}, \bar{h}) \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{s}, \bar{h})((\pi \circ \alpha)(t), \bar{t})(\bar{s}^{-1}, \bar{h}^{-1}) &= (\bar{s}(\pi \circ \alpha)(t)\bar{s}^{-1}, \bar{h}\bar{t}\bar{h}^{-1}) \\ &= ({}^h((\pi \circ \alpha)(t)), (\overline{hth^{-1}})) \\ &= ((\pi \circ \alpha)({}^ht), \overline{hth^{-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mathcal{N} \trianglelefteq \mathcal{H}$. Let (\bar{s}, \bar{h}) and $(\bar{u}, \bar{x}) \in \mathcal{H}$ as in (c). Then $\bar{x}(\bar{s}, \bar{h}) = (\bar{x}\bar{s}, \bar{x}\bar{h}) = (\overline{usu^{-1}}, \overline{xhx^{-1}})$ and we are done.

A Proof of Lemma 1.6. Let $\Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i(\bar{s}_i, \bar{h}_i)$ where $k_i \in k^{\times}$ and $(\bar{s}_i, \bar{h}_i) \in \mathcal{H}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $(\bar{r}, \bar{x}) \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{r}, \bar{x})\Gamma(\bar{r}^{-1}, \bar{x}^{-1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i(\bar{r}\bar{s}_i\bar{r}^{-1}, \bar{x}\bar{h}_i\bar{x}^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i\bar{x}(\bar{s}_i, \bar{h}_i) \\ &= \bar{x}\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Gamma \in Z(k\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $\Gamma \in (k\mathcal{H})^{\overline{H}}$ and we are done.

A Proof of Lemma 1.9. Let $x \in C_G(P)$ so that $x = tz$ for a unique $t \in T$ and $z \in Z(P)$. Then

$$(\rho \circ \omega)(x) = \rho((\pi \circ \alpha)(t), \bar{t}) = (\pi \circ \alpha)(t) = (\pi \circ \alpha)(tz)$$

since $Z(P) \leq \text{Ker}(\pi \circ \alpha)$ and (a) follows. As in (b), clearly $\omega(e) \in (k\mathcal{N})^{\overline{H}} \leq (k\mathcal{H})^{\overline{H}} = Z(k\mathcal{H})$ by Lemma 1.6. Let $-: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow k$ denote the canonic \mathcal{O} -algebra epimorphism and let $-: \mathcal{O}C_G(P) \rightarrow kC_G(P)$ denote the canonic \overline{H} -algebra epimorphism induced by $-$. Here

$\bar{\omega}(\bar{e}) = \omega(e)$. As \bar{e} is an idempotent of $kC_G(P)$ and $\bar{\omega}: \overline{kC_G(P)} \rightarrow kN$ is an \bar{H} -algebra isomorphism (b) also follows.

A Proof of Lemma 1.10. Clearly [1, III, Corollary 6.8] yields (b) implies (a). Since [2, Corollary 1.8] implies the converse, we are done.

References

- [1] W. Feit: The representation theory of finite groups, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [2] M.E. Harris: *A block refinement of the Green–Puig correspondences in finite group modular representation theory*, Int. J. Algebra **5** (2011), 315–323.
- [3] M. Linckelmann: private communication of notes for a forthcoming book.
- [4] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima: Representations of finite groups, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989.
- [5] J. Thévenaz: *G-algebras and modular representation theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.

Department of Mathematics
 Statistics and Computer Science (M/C 249)
 University of Illinois at Chicago
 851 South Morgan Street
 Chicago, IL 60607–7045
 USA
 e-mail: meharris@uic.edu